

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. CR 12-0119 SI

Plaintiff,

FINAL PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER

V.

10 VICTOR FLORES, BENJAMIN CAMPOS-GONZALES and MARIO BERGREN,

Defendants.

1

13 On March 18, 2014, the Court held a final pretrial conference in the above captioned matter,
14 which is set for jury selection beginning April 1, 2014. All parties were represented by counsel. The
15 following matters were resolved:

17 1. **Trial schedule:** The government expects that the case will take two months to complete.
18 The Court does not hear trials on Fridays (although deliberating juries may continue their deliberations
19 on Friday). The trial day runs from 9:00 a.m. until 4:00 p.m., with a 15 minute break at 10:30 a.m., a
20 30 minute break at noon and a 15 minute break at 2:00 p.m., all times approximate. The Court will be
21 unavailable at certain times during the trial period. The trial days will therefore be as follows:

22 April 7, 8, 9 and 10, 2014
23 April 14, 15, 16 and 17, 2014
24 April 21, 22, 23 and 24, 2014
25 April 28 and 29, 2014
26 May 12, 13, 14 and 15, 2014
27 May 19, 20, 21 and 22, 2014
28 May 26, 27, 28 and 29, 2014
29 June 2, 3, 4 and 5, 2014
30 June 9 and 10, 2014.

27 The Court will be unavailable from June 11 - June 20, 2014. Should the trial go longer than counsel
28 currently expect, it will resume June 23, 2014.

1 2. **Number of jurors and challenges:** There shall be a jury of twelve members, plus five
2 alternate jurors. The government shall have six peremptory challenges, the defendants collectively shall
3 have ten peremptory challenges, and, for the alternates, the government shall have three additional
4 peremptory challenges and defendants collectively shall have three additional peremptory challenges.
5

6 3. **Voir dire:** The court will conduct general voir dire, and counsel for each party shall have
7 up to 20 minutes total to question the panel. Sufficient copies of the agreed-upon jury questionnaire will
8 be provided by the government to the jury office on Friday, March 28, 2014. The potential jurors will
9 complete the questionnaires on Monday, March 31, 2014. Counsel will copy and distribute the
10 completed questionnaires on March 31, 2014. Jury selection will commence at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday,
11 April 1, 2014.

12

13 4. **Jury instructions:** The Court received proposed jury instructions from the government.
14 Defendants are reviewing them, and an instruction conference will be conducted at a later point prior
15 to closing argument. **Counsel are directed to meet and confer on an agreed statement of the case**
which can be read to the jury panel during voir dire, and on brief preliminary substantive
instructions which can be read at the commencement of the trial.

16

17 5. **Trial exhibits:** No later than April 4, 2014, the government shall submit its trial exhibits,
18 in binders with numbered tabs separating and identifying each exhibit. The court shall be provided with
19 three sets (for the court, the file and the witness) and each side shall provide one set for the other side.
20

21

22 6. **Motions in limine:** The parties have filed various motions in limine, all of which were
23 discussed at the pretrial conference. The Court rules as follows:
24

25

26 1. **Government's Motion to Preclude Use of Extrinsic Evidence for**
27 **Impeachment.** GRANTED, although the defendants may still impeach by contradiction if specific
28 extrinsic evidence directly contradicts a statement a witness makes on direct examination.

1 **2. Government's Motion to Consider Evidence Already Before It When**

2 **Determining Admissibility.** The Court DEFERS RULING on this motion, unless and until the issue
3 arises at trial.

4

5 **3. Government's Motion to Admit Cooperation Agreements.** The Court
6 DEFERS RULING on this motion pending the defendants' responses.

7

8 **4. Government's Motion for a Proffer of a Good Faith Basis Before Henthorn-**
9 **Type Questioning of Law Enforcement Officers.** GRANTED.

10

11 **5. Government's Motion to Require an Evidentiary Basis for Affirmative**
12 **Defenses.** GRANTED.

13

14 **6. Government's Motion to Require an Evidentiary Basis for Claims That a**
15 **Third Party Committed a Crime or Act.** DENIED, without prejudice to a renewal at trial.

16

17 **7. Government's Motion to Preclude Defendants From Arguing Negative**
18 **Inferences Based on the Absence of Particular Types of Evidence or Testing.** DENIED, without
19 prejudice to a renewal at trial.

20

21 **8. Government's Motion to Preclude Defendants from Introducing Information**
22 **not in Evidence.** GRANTED, as to both the defendants and the government.

23

24 **9. Government's Motion for a Ruling that Rule 404(b) does not Bar Any**
25 **Evidence in this Case.** GRANTED, without prejudice to defendants' objection to specific evidence
26 at trial.

27

28 **10. Government's Motion to Admit Prior Convictions for Impeachment.** The

1 Court DEFERS RULING on this motion, unless and until the matter arises at trial.
2

3 **11. Government's Motion to Preclude the Defendants from Referencing**
4 **Punishment.** GRANTED as to the defendants' potential punishments if found guilty. The defendants,
5 however, will be permitted to question cooperating witnesses as to the punishments they may face
6 pursuant to past or pending guilty pleas, to allow the jury to evaluate credibility and bias.
7

8 **12. Government's Motion to Preclude Defendants from Using Materials That**
9 **Were Not Timely Produced Pursuant to Rule 16(b).** DENIED, without prejudice to a renewal of the
10 objection if the defendants attempt to introduce such evidence at trial.
11

12 **13. Bergren's Motion to Dismiss the Indictment for Bad Faith Destruction of**
13 **Exculpatory Evidence.** DENIED because the defendant cannot meet his burden of demonstrating that
14 the destroyed evidence "possess[es] an exculpatory value that was apparent before the evidence was
15 destroyed, and [was] of such a nature that the defendant would be unable to obtain comparable evidence
16 by other reasonably available means." *California v. Trombetta*, 467 U.S. 479, 489 (1984).
17

18 **14. Bergren's Motion to Dismiss the Indictment Due to Misconduct Before the**
19 **Grand Jury.** DENIED. The defendant cannot demonstrate that the complained of testimony was
20 perjurious or that it constituted misconduct.
21

22 **15. Bergren's Motion to Exclude Mention of Bergren's Registration as a Gang**
23 **Participant.** DENIED AS MOOT, without prejudice to renewal at trial. The government represents
24 that it will not seek to introduce this evidence except perhaps on rebuttal if the defendant opens the door
25 by making contrary assertions.
26

27 **16. Bergren's Motion to Exclude Evidence of Prior Convictions.** GRANTED IN
28 PART, as to Bergren's prior misdemeanor conviction. The Court DEFERS RULING on the felony

1 conviction, as noted above in response to government's motion #10.

2
3 **17. Bergren's Motion to Exclude the Testimony of the Government's Gang**

4 **Expert.** The Court DEFERS RULING on this motion due to defendants' request to respond to the

5 government's filings.¹

6
7 **18. Bergren's Motion to Strike the Indictment's Introductory Allegations.**

8 DENIED. The Court does not anticipate reading or providing the indictment to the jury.

9
10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11
12 Dated: March 20, 2014

13
14 
15 _____
16 SUSAN ILLSTON
17 United States District Judge

18

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 ¹The Court also DEFERS RULING on the related motions brought by defendants Flores and Campos-Gonzalez, for the same reason.